

ZAN

In re the Application of: WATANABE, Mitsuo, et al.

Group Art Unit: 2876

Serial No.: 10/748,232

Examiner: Karl D. FRECH

Filed: December 31, 2003

Corres. and Mail

P.T.O. Confirmation No.: 7120

BOX A

For: BAR-CODE READER

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR §1.116 - EXPEDITED RESPONSE GROUP ART UNIT 2876

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

December 14, 2005

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated October 19, 2005, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection of claims 1-20 as unpatentable over Connolly et al. in view of Wenner et al. (both previously applied) and newly-cited U.S. Patent Publication 2004/0065741 A1 to Reddersen et al. (hereafter, "Reddersen et al.").

As noted in Applicants' response of July 27, 2005, neither <u>Connolly et al.</u> nor <u>Wenner et al.</u> teaches, mentions or suggests a dedicated reader management storage unit 19 that stores the contents of the read bar code <u>only</u> if the contents of the bar code is management information, as recited in claims 1 and 13 of the present invention.

The Examiner has cited Reddersen et al. for teaching this feature.

Applicants respectfully disagree. Reddersen et al. discloses a multiple-interface selection system for computer peripherals. When the peripheral is a data reading device such as